UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/535,117	05/16/2005	Hartmut Richter	PD020107	3618
²⁴⁴⁹⁸ Joseph J. Laks	7590 12/09/2008 .aks			INER
Thomson Licen		SHEN, KEZHEN		
PO Box 5312	Way, Patent Operation	ns	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PRINCETON, NJ 08543			2627	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/09/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/535,117	RICHTER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kezhen Shen	2627	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 № 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 and 18-23 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 and 18-23 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	ate	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Regarding claims 22 and 23, the claims are single means claim. The recitation does not appear in combination with another recited element of means. The apparatus of reading or storing data on the optical recording media does not appear in combination with the method of storing data as bit cells in an optical recording medium in claim 1.*In re Hyatt, 708 F.2d 712, 714-715, 218 USPQ 195, 197 (Fed. Cir. 1983)*

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Regarding claims 8-13, Applicant in claim 8 claims the readout beam to be shorter than the pits arranged the optical recording medium, however in later dependent claims 11 and 13, Applicant claims the readout beam to be longer than the pits.

Therefore, claims 8-13 contradict each other and are indefinite to limiting the metes and bounds of the invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the

invention was made.

Claim 1-13 and 18-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Horimai et al. 5,513,161 and further in view of Carasso et al. 4,410,877.

Regarding claim 1, Horimai et al. teaches an optical recording medium using pits and lands (Fig. 4, Col 6 Lines 3-25), whereby the pits and lands are placed out of the center of a track of the prerecorded area (Fig. 4). Horimai et al. fails to teach a method for storing data as bit cells in a prerecorded area of an optical recording medium using pits and lands and including the step of arranging the pits and lands adjacent to bit cell signal transitions in a predefined manner.

However, Carasso et al. teaches a method of bit cell modulation wherein the transitions are set in a predefined manner (Fig. 1, Col 4 Lines 3-33). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the arts to combine the teachings of the optical recording medium as taught by Horimai et al. and the teachings of the bit cell modulation where in transitions are in a predefined manner as taught by Carasso et al. as a whole to use pits and lands for storing addition information and arranging the pits and lands transitions for bit cell signal transitions in a predefined manner for the benefit of encoding additional data along with the pits and lands.

Regarding claim 2, Horimai et al. teaches the method according to claim 1, wherein the pits and lands are arranged in a fixed recurring sequence of pit lengths and

land lengths (Fig. 13, Col 15 Lines 1-7). Horimai et al. fail to teach at the bit cell signal transitions in the pit lengths and land lengths.

However, Carasso et al. teaches a method of bit cell modulation wherein the transitions are set in a predefined manner (Fig. 1, Col 4 Lines 3-33). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the arts to combine the teachings of the optical recording medium as taught by Horimai et al. and the teachings of the bit cell modulation where in transitions are in a predefined manner as taught by Carasso et al. as a whole to use pits and lands for transitions for bit cell signal transitions in a predefined manner for the benefit of encoding additional data along with the pits and lands.

Regarding claim 3, the limitation has been analyzed and rejected with respect to the reasons set forth above in claim 1. Further, the pits are arranged like the bit cells and the transition will be read as such.

Regarding claim 4, the limitation has been analyzed and rejected with respect to the reasons set forth above in claim 1. Further, the lands are arranged like the bit cells and the transition will be read as such.

Regarding claim 5, Horimai et al. teach the method according claim 1, further comprising the step of placing an identical number of pits and lands in each bit cell (Fig. 13, Col 15 Lines 1-7 Fig. 13 the servo pits and the areas without the servo pits).

Regarding claim 6, Horimai et al. teach the method according to claim 1, further comprising the step of setting the lengths of the pits and lands to integer multiples of a

predefined length based on a nominal channel clock and a nominal rotational speed of the recording medium (Fig. 4, Col 6 Lines 3 – 65 Tables 1 and 2, Col 11 Lines 21-48 the pits and lands are set a specific lengths and the recording of these pits and lands are based on the clock and rotational speed by the clock generator circuit and the FG pulse generator).

Regarding claim 7, Horimai et al. teach the method according to claim 1, further comprising the step of inserting a gap at the bit cell signal transitions (Fig. 13, Col 15 Lines 1-19 as seen in Fig. 13 there is a gap between positions A, B and C before a land or pit is present).

Regarding claim 8 Horimai et al. teach the method according to claim 1, further comprising the step of arranging pits, which are long compared with the diameter of a readout spot (Fig. 4, the pit on tracks #2 and #3 are longer than the readout spot), near the bit cell signal transitions (Fig. 4, Col 6 Lines 3 – 65 Tables 1 and 2 the transitions are between the lands and pits which represent 0 and 1 in the recording data).

Regarding claim 9, Horimai et al. teach the method according to claim 8, further comprising the step of arranging lands, which are short compared with the diameter of a readout spot (Fig. 4 and Fig. 13 the areas where a pit is not present is regarded as a land is shorter or longer than the diameter depending on the area of the track read), within the bit cell (Fig. 4, Col 6 Lines 3 – 65 Tables 1 and 2 the transitions are between the lands and pits which represent 0 and 1 in the recording data)..

Regarding claim 10, Horimai et al. teach the method according to claim 8, further comprising the step of arranging lands, which are short compared with the diameter of the readout spot (Fig. 4 and Fig. 13 the areas where a pit is not present is regarded as a land is shorter or longer than the diameter depending on the area of the track read), within the bit cell (Fig. 4, Col 6 Lines 3 – 65 Tables 1 and 2 the transitions are between the lands and pits which represent 0 and 1 in the recording data).

Page 6

Regarding claim 11, Horimai et al. teach the method according to claim 10, further comprising the step of arranging pits (Fig. 4, the pit on tracks #1 are shorter than the readout spot), which are short compared with the diameter of a readout spot, within the bit cell (Fig. 4, Col 6 Lines 3 – 65 Tables 1 and 2 the transitions are between the lands and pits which represent 0 and 1 in the recording data).

Regarding claim 12, Horimai et al. teach the method according to claim 10, further comprising the step of arranging pits with a length corresponding to the full width at half maximum of the intensity distribution of the readout spot near the bit cell signal transitions (Col 15 Lines 13-19).

Regarding claim 13, Horimai et al. teach the method according to claim 12, further comprising the step arranging pits, which are short compared with the diameter of a readout spot within the bit cell (Fig. 4, the pit on tracks #1 are shorter than the readout spot).

Regarding claim 18, Horimai et al. teach method according to claim 1, further comprising the step of varying the distance between the track center and the pits and

Application/Control Number: 10/535,117

Art Unit: 2627

lands (Col 6 Lines 14-21 the track pitch is dependent on the spot size and the pit diameter which can be varied).

Regarding claim 19, Horimai et al. teach the method according to claim 1, further comprising the step of varying the width of the pits (Col 6 Lines 14-21 varying the pit between 0.5 μ m to 0.86 μ m).

Regarding claim 20, Horimai et al. fail to teach the method according to claim 1, wherein the average of a modulation signal containing the stored data is zero for bit cells representing a digital `1` and zero for two consecutive bit cells representing a digital `0`.

However, Carasso et al. teaches a method of bit cell modulation wherein a logic "1" or "0" is read depending on when the transition occurs (Fig. 1, Col 4 Lines 3-33).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the arts to combine the teachings of the optical recording medium as taught by Horimai et al. and the teachings of the bit cell modulation where in transitions are in a predefined manner as taught by Carasso et al. as a whole to read out a logic "1" or "0" depending on the transition occurrence for the benefit of encoding additional data along with the pits and lands.

Regarding claim 21, the limitations have been analyzed and rejected with respect to the method of storing data on the pits and lands as set forth above in claim 1.

Regarding claim 22, the limitations have been analyzed and rejected with respect to the method of storing data on the pits and lands as set forth above in claim 1.

Application/Control Number: 10/535,117 Page 8

Art Unit: 2627

Regarding claim 23, the limitations have been analyzed and rejected with respect to the method of storing data on the pits and lands as set forth above in claim 1.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kezhen Shen whose telephone number is (571) 270-1815. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 10am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Feild can be reached on (571) 272-4090. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Kezhen Shen/ Examiner, Art Unit 2627 /Joseph H. Feild/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2627